

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

			• •	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	COMMUNICATION
10/790,742	03/03/2004	John E. D.	THIORNET BOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
		John F. Duprey	24410.00	2875
75	90 11/17/2004			
Richard C. Lit	man		EXAM	INER
LITMAN LAW OFFICES, LTD.			GRAHAM, GARY K	
P.O. Box 15035				
Arlington, VA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
σ,			1744	
			DATE MAILED: 11/17/2004	<b>.</b>

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Λ
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary	10/790,742	DUPREY, JOHN F.	
- Constitution Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
The MAII ING DATE of this communication of	Gary K Graham	1744	
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a refull find the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I.  1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re eply within the statutory minimum of thirty d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication.	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 A  2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> .  2b) This  3) Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matte	rs, prosecution as to the merits is 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) 1-8 is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 9-20 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or</li> </ul>	awn from consideration.	,	
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11.	cepted or b) objected to by drawing(s) be held in abeyance tion is required if the drawing(s)	s. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in App rity documents have been rea J (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	lication No ceived in this National Stage	
		1	
ttachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sum	mary (PTO-413)	
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/M	ail Date mal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Art Unit: 1744

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 9-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 9 appears confusing. Line 1 sets forth a scalloped edge "for a dustpan". However, line 5 positively attaches the strip to a front end of a blade of a dustpan. Thus, applicant has claimed the combination, but the preamble should be amended to match. For example, line 1 could set forth --- A scalloped edge with a dustpan, comprising:---. Thus the combination would clearly be set forth.

Art Unit: 1744

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 15, 16, 17 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Wyant (US patent 3,232,505).

The patent to Wyant discloses a planar strip (16, fig.4) having a scalloped edge (15, fig.3) thereon. The edge is formed as a continuous series of beveled semi-circular protruding segments. While the strip of Wyant is not disclosed as for use with a dustpan, nothing would prohibit such. The strip of Wyant could be used with a dustpan and is "adapted" for attachment to a front end of a blade of a dustpan, in-so-far as such defines any particular structure.

With respect to claim 17, as Wyant discloses that the edge is hardened and ground, the strip is considered to be of metal. "Hardened" conventionally refers to a metal treating process.

With respect to claim 20, the strip of Wyant does reduce in thickness from the rear edge to the front edge as is claimed. Use of the term "gradually" does not distinguish from Wyant.

Art Unit: 1744

Claims 15 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Share (US patent 3,495,352).

The patent to Share discloses a planar metal strip (12, fig.1) having a scalloped edge thereon. The edge is formed as a continuous series of semi-circular protruding segments (24). While the strip of Share is not disclosed as for use with a dustpan, nothing would prohibit such. The strip of Share could be used with a dustpan and is "adapted" for attachment to a front end of a blade of a dustpan, in-so-far as such defines any particular structure.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 18 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Share (US patent 3,495,352) in view of Trifiletti (US patent 4,969,289).

The patent to Share discloses all of the above recited subject matter with the exception of the strip being made of rubber or plastic.

The patent to Trifiletti discloses that it is known to make edging of rubber, plastics and metal (col. 1, lines 34-46)

Art Unit: 1744

It would have been obvious to one of skill in the art to make the strip of Share of rubber or plastics, instead of metal, as suggested is known by Trifiletti, to provide a strip that it resistant to corrosion. Additionally, it appears but a choice of materials to employ rubber or plastics instead of metal. Such are known substitutions for one another in the mechanical arts.

#### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 15-20 are have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. As set forth above, Wyant and Share disclose strips with a scalloped edge providing protruding segments as is claimed. It appears that if the edge of claim 15 were claimed in combination with a dustpan, such would distinguish from both Wyant and Share.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-8 are allowed.

Claims 9-14 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Art Unit: 1744

#### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gary K Graham whose telephone number is 571-272-1274. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday to Friday (6:30-4:00).

Art Unit: 1744

Page 7

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Warden can be reached on 571-272-1281. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Gary K Graham Primary Examiner Art Unit 1744